October 22, 2020

Peter Szulczewski Chief Executive Officer ContextLogic Inc. One Sansome Street 40th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104

Re: ContextLogic Inc.
Amendment No. 1 to

Draft Registration Statement on Form S-1

Submitted October

9, 2020

CIK No. 0001822250

Dear Mr. Szulczewski:

We have reviewed your amended draft registration statement and have the following  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right) +$ 

comments. In some of our comments, we may ask you to provide us with information so we

may better understand your disclosure.

Please respond to this letter by providing the requested information and either submitting

an amended draft registration statement or publicly filing your registration statement on  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right) +$ 

EDGAR. If you do not believe our comments apply to your facts and circumstances or do not

believe an amendment is appropriate, please tell us why in your response.

 $\qquad \qquad \text{After reviewing the information you provide in response to these comments and your } \\$ 

amended draft registration statement or filed registration statement, we may have additional  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right$ 

comments.

Amendment No. 1 to Draft Registration Statement on Form S-1 Filed October 9, 2020

Selected Consolidated Financial and Other Data Other Financial Information and Data LTM Active Buyers, page 72

1. We note your response to comment 4. Based on your response, the title of this metric does not appear consistent with how the metric is computed. As you deem appropriate, please revise the title, revise the basis of computation to exclude the 4% of users that did not actually complete a purchase, or disclose each time presented that the reported metric includes approximately

4% of users that did not actually complete a purchase.

Peter Szulczewski

FirstName LastNamePeter Szulczewski

ContextLogic Inc.

Comapany

October 22, NameContextLogic

2020 Inc.

**October** 

Page 2 22, 2020 Page 2

FirstName LastName

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Overview, page 76

2. We note your revised disclosure in response to comment 5. You disclose that positive  $\ \ \,$ 

 $\dot{}$  working capital dynamics are "where we receive an upfront payment from a user, and

remit payment to a merchant a number of weeks later." While we understand how the

timing difference between these transactions would benefit your float,

it is unclear how

they benefit your working capital given that the transactions are largely comprised of

debits and credits to cash and merchants payable (both of which are included in current

assets and current liabilities and, therefore, within working capital). Please revise or

advise. In addition, as requested in prior comment five, please tell us whether you expect

the benefits to continue, as applicable.

Comparison of Years Ended December 31, 2018, and 2019, page 90

We note your response and related revisions in response to our comment
 We reissue

our comment in part. Please revise to quantify factors to which changes are attributed.  $\,$ 

For example, you disclose that Marketplace revenue increased due to increased  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right) \left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right) \left$ 

 $\,$  monetization of our marketplace services to merchants, particularly increased merchant

adoption of our ProductBoost service, partially offset by a decrease in user transaction  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right) \left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right) \left( 1$ 

volume from the prior year but you do not quantify these factors.

Please also revise to

analyze why these changes occurred.

Please also quantify the effects of changes in both price and volume on your revenue and  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right) +\left$ 

expense categories, where appropriate.

Principal and Selling Stockholders, page 155

4. We note your revised disclosure and your written response to our prior comment 10.

Please revise your footnote 16 to include the information contained in your written

response that the "beneficial holder of Republic Technologies Pte.

Ltd. is Temasek

 $\label{eq:holdings} \mbox{ (Private) Limited, which is wholly-owned by the Singapore } \mbox{ Minister of } \mbox{ } \$ 

Finance."

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Note 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Revenue Recognition, page F-8

5. We note your response to comment 14. With regard to disaggregation of marketplace

 $\dot{}$  services revenue between sales-based commission fees and display-based <code>ProductBoost</code>

advertising fees you state that the nature, timing, and uncertainty of revenue and cash  $\,$ 

flows are affected by similar economic factors. However, it appears the time of

recognition and receipt of cash flows may differ as follows:

Peter Szulczewski

ContextLogic Inc.

October 22, 2020

Page 3

 $\label{eq:Access} \mbox{ Access to the marketplace generates sales-based commission fees that are recognized}$ 

only if and when a merchant sale occurs and the order is

processed; and

 $\label{lem:productBoost} \mbox{ generates display-based advertising fees that are recognized when the}$ 

merchant's products are displayed (regardless of sales, if any).

In this regard, it appears there are both cash flow timing and uncertainty differences. In

addition, it appears the nature of these revenue streams differ, given that one is a sales  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right) \left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right) \left($ 

commission and the other is advertising revenue.

In your response you indicate that separately quantifying amounts would be ad hoc non-  $\,$ 

GAAP as you have no basis for allocation. However, you also state that marketplace

services (i.e., those that generate the sales-based commission) can be purchased separately

without ProductBoost services and your accounting policy indicates that you recognize

ProductBoost revenue when the merchants' products are displayed. In

addition, on page 3,

76, 82, 104 of your filing you quantify that your advertising service (i.e., ProductBoost)

has an annual run-rate of nearly \$180 million.

For the reasons cited above, we believe you should reconsider your disaggregation of

revenue disclosure to separately quantify advertising service revenues, which appear to be

a different service than your sales commissions. In addition, we note references

throughout your filing to geographic diversification of users and note that ASC 606-10-  $\,$ 

 $\ensuremath{\texttt{55-91}(b)}$  includes geographic region as an additional example of a disaggregation

category that might be appropriate.

You may contact Stephen Kim at 202-551-3294 or Lyn Shenk at 202-551-3380 if you have questions regarding comments on the financial statements and related matters. Please contact Scott Anderegg at 202-551-3342 or Lilyanna Peyser at 202-551-3222 with any other questions.

FirstName LastNamePeter Szulczewski Comapany NameContextLogic Inc.

Corporation Finance October 22, 2020 Page 3 & Services FirstName LastName Sincerely,

Division of

Office of Trade